

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/939,628	08/28/2001	Michel Cantagrel	21482/0069	4551
75	90 11/20/2002			
Burton A. Amernick			EXAMINER	
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP Suite 800			NGUYEN, DANNY	
1990 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-3425			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2026	

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
· Office Action Summary		Application N .	Applicant(s)				
		09/939,628	CANTAGREL, MICHEL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Danny Nguyen	2836				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Decreasing to communication(s) find an OC A						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 A</u>						
2a)☐	·—	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08/28/2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠,All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	•	" .					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	_	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
6 Bata - 1 1	1.00						

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the application does not contain "Field of the invention", "Back ground of the invention", "Field of the invention", "Description of Related Art", "Summary of the invention", "Brief Description of Drawings", and Detailed Description of the invention".

Appropriate correction is required.

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
 The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix</u>": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

Art Unit: 2836

(e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:

(1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."

Page 3

- (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- or general statement of the invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

Art Unit: 2836

Page 4

- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1:

Line 1, the phrase "Over-voltage protection device" should be "An over-voltage protection device".

Lines 1-2, the phrase "applicable in particular" is narrative language and is indefinite.

- Line 2, the phrase "the low-voltage mains" lacks proper antecedent basis.
- Line 3, "the two lines" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 2836

Line 3, the phrase "the mains" is confusing. Are these the same "mains" as the previously mentioned "the low-voltage mains" or are there additional mains?

Lines 5-6, the phrase "tasked with ensuring the thermal disconnection of the device" is confusing because "the device" is unclear. Is there another device that is disconnected or is the over-voltage protection device disconnected?

Line 6, the phrase "characterized in that it includes" is unclear. What does "it" refer to?

Line 11, the phrase "the device" is unclear as stated above.

Lines 3, 4, 5, 7, the interconnection of the circuit elements are not recited. With out the identification of how the circuit elements are related the function of disconnecting the device can not be given patentable weight.

Claim 2:

Line 1, the phrase "Over-voltage protection device" should be "The over-voltage protection device".

Lines 2-3, the phrase "a wide range of voltages" is unclear.

Lines 3-4, the phrase "characterized in that the varistor (4) is defined so as to extinguish the gas-discharge arrestor (3) up to maximum voltage of use" is unclear. Varistors are not "defined"; it may be sized or selected. The maximum voltage lacks proper antecedent basis.

Line 6, the phrase "resistor (7) is defined" is unclear. Resistors are not "defined".

Lines 7, the phrase "the device" is unclear because which device is meant is unclear.

Lines 7-8, the phrase "the minimum voltage" lacks proper antecedent basis.

The followings of rejections are made based on examiner's best interpretation of the claims 1 and 2 in light of objections above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chaudhry (USPN 6,282,075). Chaudhry discloses an over-voltage protection device (see fig. 2), applicable to the low-voltage mains in a wide range of voltages (see col. 2, lines 25-26) comprises between the two lines (line 1 and line 2), a gas discharge arrestor (46), a varistor (44), and a thermal fuse element (48) tasked with ensuring the thermal disconnection of the device, characterized in that it includes, in parallel with the varistor (34) which is defined so as to extinguish the gas discharge arrestor up to the maximum voltage of use, a resistor (36) causing, after the short circuiting of the gas

Art Unit: 2836

discharge arrestor, the heating of the thermal fuse element so as to trigger the thermal

disconnection of the device.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)-305-

5988. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (703)-308-3119. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-

9318 for regular communications and (703)-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-

308-0956.

ΩN

DN.

November 18, 2002

RRIAN SIRCUS

Page 7

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800